UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,812	11/10/2005	Markus Wassum		1866
*	60333 7590 02/01/2008 EDWIN D. SCHINDLER		EXAMINER	
FIVE HIRSCH AVENUE			NGO, LIEN M	
P.O. BOX 966 CORAM, NY 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	A 11 41 N1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	10/550,812	WASSUM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LIEN TM NGO	3754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provided period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N	<u>lovember 2007</u> .				
<i>,</i>	-				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	,				
4) Claim(s) 9-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 9 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hicks et al. (5,839,627) in view of Weiteder et al. (5,875,958).

In regard to claims 9, 14 and 15 Hicks et al. disclose, in figs. 1 and 7, a pourer closure comprising a bottom part 12 having a base plate including a drain opening 24, and upwardly jutting projection; a cover 10; a break-off plate 20 running flush with a bottom side of the base plate and both fixable on a composite pack or a thick foil; and a tongue 30.

Hick et al. do not disclose the pourer closure is a flat and low profile, a hinged cover, the tongue formed at an edge of a recess in the break-off plate; and a pouring lip formed at upwardly jutting projection.

Weiteder et al. teach, in figs. 1, 5 and 6, a flat low pourer closure having a hinged cover 4, a tongue 8 formed at an edge of a recess in a break-of plate, and a pouring lip formed at upwardly jutting projection.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Hicks et al. with a flat Art Unit: 3754

low pourer closure having a hinged cover, and a tongue formed at an edge of a recess in a break-of plate, and a pouring lip formed at upwardly jutting projection, as taught by Weiteder et al., in order to facilitate of opening of the cover, gripping the tongue, and pouring contains of the package.

In regard to claim 13, the hinge cover of Weiteder et al. comprising a holding strip 11 at a front end.

3. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hicks et al. in view of Weiteder et al., and further in view of Schellenberg et al. (2004/0035883).

Schellenberg et al. teach, in figs. 3-5, a tongue 4 of break-off plate including ribs; and fist and second beads 15, 18 as claimed.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the pourer closure of Hicks et al. in view of Weiteder et al. with a tongue 4 of break-off plate including ribs; and fist and second beads 15, 18 as claimed, as taught by Schellenberg et al., in order to facilitate of gripping the tongue and enhance the sealing tightness of the pouring closure.

4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hicks et al. in view of Weiteder et al., and further in view of Wagner (6,481,588).

Wagner teaches a hinge of a closure lid comprising two hinge levers and an open space between the two hinge levers with a tooth.

Art Unit: 3754

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the hinge in the invention of Hicks et al. in view of Weiteder et al. with two hinge levers and an open space between the two hinge levers with a tooth, in view teaching of Wagner, in order to provide a snap hinge for the closure lid.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,812 Page 5

Art Unit: 3754

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KEVIN SHAVER can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LIEN TM NGO
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3754

January 31, 2008